

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

FRANK J. HONAKER,

Petitioner,

-VS-

JASON BUNTING,

Respondent.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Case No.1:12CV69

ORDER

Judge Christopher A. Boyko

On January 11, 2012, Petitioner filed a *pro se* Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Dkt. #1). The case was referred to Magistrate Judge Vernelis K. Armstrong pursuant to Local Rule 72.2. On October 31, 2012, the Magistrate Judge recommended that Petitioner's application for habeas corpus be denied (Dkt. # 9). On December 14, 2012, the Court granted Petitioner until December 31, 2012 to file Objections, with no further extensions allowed.

FED. R. CIV.P. 72(b) provides that objections to a Report and Recommendation must be filed within fourteen (14) days after service, but Petitioner has failed to timely file any such objections. Therefore, the Court must assume that Petitioner is satisfied with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. Thomas v. Arn,

728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir.1981).

Therefore, Magistrate Judge Armstrong's Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED** and Petitioner's Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Dkt.#1) is **DENIED**.

Furthermore, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. §2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/3/2013

S/Christopher A. Boyko
CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE